



**Cost Charge Process** 

SUBJECT:	Nanoose Bay Peninsula Water Service Area and	Nanoose Bay Bulk	Water Development
FROM:	Mike Donnelly Manager of Water & Utility Services	FILE:	5500-22-NBP-01
то:	Randy Alexander General Manager, Regional and Community Utilitie	<b>DATE:</b> s	November 5, 2013

## PURPOSE

To bring forward the implementation process for a combined Development Cost Charge Bylaw for both the Nanoose Bay Peninsula Water Service Area and the Nanoose Bay Bulk Water Service Area.

### BACKGROUND

The Nanoose Bay Peninsula Water Service Area (NBPWS) currently includes 2,497 properties with a population of approximately 4,900. The anticipated population on the peninsula with full development of all properties is estimated to reach 10,000 by 2031. To ensure the orderly and long term financial stability of this water system it will be important to establish the level of financial support that future growth will provide towards the water supply and distribution system.

The NBPWS was established in 2005 after an amalgamation of 7 separate Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) operated water systems on the peninsula. The amalgamation allowed for the streamlining of the operation of the overall water supply and prepared the way for the eventual inclusions of the future Englishman River Water Service (ERWS) supply.

The future water supply to the peninsula will continue to access groundwater with the primary supply moving to surface water from the ERWS system. As the two systems become integrated there will be a need to align operational and administrative components of the systems in order to provide seamless integration and long term financial viability as it relates to growth.

Development Cost Charges (DCC) ensure growth supports those costs relative to their impact on existing infrastructure and on costs associated with expanded supporting infrastructure. For the NBPWSA, that would relate to costs for upgrades to the existing infrastructure. For the ERWS, it would reflect costs associated with the Arrowsmith dam, river intake and treatment process.

The Nanoose Bay Bulk Water Development Cost Charge bylaw was established in 1997. That DCC was established on estimated costs at that time and requires updating to include the recently determined costs for the ERWS project including river intake and treatment portion of the project. Preliminary work on a DCC for the NBPWSA was carried out in 2012 but has yet to be finalized.

Given both the planned ERWS and the existing NBPWSA infrastructure will be in place to service residents within the same area it is recommended that a common DCC bylaw be established.

A draft summary of the projects that would be considered for inclusion in a combined DCC has been included in this report for the Board's information (see attached). This preliminary assessment lists the upgrades required along with estimated costs. This is only a preliminary assessment but has been provided to illustrate the scope of the required works.

The development of DCCs is guided by the province's "Development Cost Charges Best Practices Guide". The guide provides the process and structure by which a DCC bylaw is established which must be followed closely as the province must approve the bylaw. The following steps outlined in the Best Practices Guide reflect a typical process for developing a DCC program.

- The regional district board passes a motion to consider a DCC program and the development of a DCC bylaw based on the *DCC Best Practices Guide*.
- Local government staff, or a consultant, develop a bylaw and calculate the DCC rates.
- During the bylaw development phase, input is obtained from the public and interested parties.
- A proposed bylaw is presented to the regional district board for first reading.
- Elected officials may request additional public input or revisions prior to second and third reading.
- Following third reading the DCC bylaw and supporting documentation will be forwarded to the Inspector of Municipalities for review and approval.
- If no revisions are required, the bylaw will be returned to the local government for adoption. At this point the DCC bylaw takes effect.

# ALTERNATIVES

- 1. That the report be received for information and that the Board approves the development of a combined Nanoose Bay Peninsula Water Service Area and Nanoose Bay Bulk Water Development Cost Charge.
- 2. That the Board provide alternate direction.

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial implications, once they are fully determined as part of the DCC bylaw development process will be brought before the Board for their consideration.

# SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The financial sustainability of the NBPWSA system will be linked to the effective distribution of costs between existing and future users of the system as growth occurs.

### SUMMARY/CONCLUSIONS

The Nanoose Bay Peninsula Water Service Area will see significant growth over the coming years which will result in a need for updated and improved water supply and distribution infrastructure. To meet that challenge it will be important to adopt strategies that recognize how the associated cost burden will be shared amongst both existing and future users. Development Cost Charges are the tool available to local government to meet that challenge.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. That the Board receive the report for information.
- 2. That the Board direct staff to develop a combined Development Cost Charge bylaw for both the Nanoose Bay Peninsula Water Service Area and the Nanoose Bay Bulk Water service.
- 3. That the Board approve the development of a Development Cost Charge program and the development of a Development Cost Charge bylaw based on the *DCC Best Practices Guide*.

**Report Writer** 

General Manager Concurrence

CAO Concurrence

File:	5500-22-NBP-01
Date:	November 5, 2013
Page:	4

#### DRAFT Table 4 - Water Projects and DCC Calculations

PROJECT	T COST ESTIMATE AL	LOCATION												
No.			end of life is shown in	brackets)		A Project Cost Estimate (2013)	B Government Grant	C % Benefit to Existing Users	D Net Expenditure (A - B)	E Benefit to Existing Users (D x C)	F Benefit to New Develop. (D - E)	G 1% Munipal Assist (F x 1%)	H User Fees (Regional District) (E + G)	I DCC Recoverable (D - H)
N2014-3	Change Controls to Ea Arbutus Reservoir Pre Garry Oak Drive PRV Harlequin/Sea Lion Lo	design Study	ge	(System Im	provements)	59,200 13,800 52,300 237,500	0 0 0	100% 100% 100% 75%	59,200 13,800 52,300 237,500	59,200 13,800 52,300 178,125	0 0 59,375	0 0 594	59,200 13,800 52,300 178,719	( ( 58 781
N2015-1 N2015-2 N2015-3 N2015-4	Arbutus Crescent Mair Water Treatment Expa Hemlock Drive Main	insion for Clau	udet Wells (225 igpm	of 425 igpm benefits d (System Im	provements)	362,800 167,100 2,088,000 78,000 201,200	0	90% 47% 90% 25%	167,100 2,088,000 78,000 201,200	150,390 982,588 70,200 50,300	16,710 1,105,412 7,800 150,900	187 11,054 78	304,019 150,557 993,642 70,278 51,809	58,781 16,543 1,094,358 7,722 149,391
N2015-5 TOTAL 20 N2016-1	Wallbrook Wells No. 2, 3 & 4 Upgrades (Potential DCC Credit)				750,000 3,284,300 200,600 126,400	Ö	0% 90% 75%	200,600 126,400	180,540 94,800	20,060 31,600	1,509 7,500 201 316	7,500 1,273,786 180,741 95,116	742,500 2,010,514 19,859 31,284	
N2016-3 TOTAL 20 N2017-1	West Bay PRV Buildin 16	West Bay PRV Building Üpgrade Arrine Drive Watermain Replacement (2016)				12,700 339,700 155,100 239,500	ő	25% 90% 90%	12,700 155,100 239,500	3,175 139,590 215,550	9,525 15,510 23,950	95 155 240	3,270 279,127 139,745 215,790	9,430 60,573 15,355 23,711
N2017-3 N2017-4	Anchor Way Watermai Bonnington Drive Loop Englishman River Wat	Main, Phase	nt 1	(Potential D (RDN's 26% (	(2016) CC Rebate)	229,700 261,200 9,750,000 10,635,500	0 0 0	50% 25% 34%	229,700 261,200 9,750,000	114,850 65,300 3,315,000	114,850 195,900 6,435,000	1,149 1,959 64,350	115,999 67,259 3,379,350 3,918,142	113,702 193,941 <u>6,370,650</u> 6,717,358
N2018-1 N2018-2 N2018-3	West Bay Pumphouse Dolphin Drive Main Outrigger Road Main DCC Major Update Stu	ybu	.2		provements) ICC Rebate)	114,900 33,600 122,600 11,500 313,200	0 0 0 0	25% 90% 10% 50% 25%	114,900 33,600 122,600 11,500 313,200	28,725 30,240 12,260 5,750 78,300	86,175 3,360 110,340 5,750 234,900	862 34 1,103 58 2,349	29,587 30,274 13,363 5,808 80,649	85,313 3,326 109,237 5,693 232,551
TOTAL 20 N2019-1 N2019-2 TOTAL 20	18 Dorcas Point Rd Main Schooner Cove Drive I 19	Loop Main, Pl		(System Im	provements) ICC Rebate)	595,800 612,671 156,800 769,471	0	90% 25%	612,671 156,800	551,404 39,200	61,267 117,600	613 1,176	159,680 552,017 40,376 592,393	436,120
N2020-2 TOTAL 20 N2021-1	SCADA - Continue Exp	d Northwest	ramming		(2012)	229,700 73,300 303,000 57,500	0	50% 95%	229,700 73,300 57,500	114,850 69,635 28,750	114,850 3,665 28,750	1,149 37 288	115,999 69,672 <b>185,670</b> 29,038	116,424 177,078 113,702 3,628 117,330 28,463
N2021-3 N2021-4 TOTAL 20	Northwest Bay Rd #16 Jenkins Crescent Wate Schooner Cove Drive I 21 SCADA - Continue Exp	ermain Replac Loop Main, Pl	ement (2012) nase 2	(Potential D	(2012) (2012) ICC Rebate)	342,600 73,300 877,200 1,350,600 57,500	0	95% 95% 25%	342,600 73,300 877,200	325,470 69,635 219,300 28,750	17,130 3,865 657,900 28,750	171 37 6,579 288	325,641 69,672 225,879 650,229 29,038	16,959 3,628 651,321 700,371 28,463
N2022-2 TOTAL 20 N2023-1 N2023-2	Sangster Crescent Wa 22 SCADA - Continue Exp Strougler Bd Waterma	termain Repli panding/Progr	acement ramming		(2012)	80,300 137,800 57,500 73,300	0 0	95% 50% 95%	80,300 57,500 73,300	76,285 28,750 69,635	20,750 4,015 28,750 3,665 5,750	40 288 37	76,325 105,363 29,038 69,672	3,970 32,437 28,463 3,628
N2023-3 N2023-4 TOTAL 20 N2024-1	DCC Major Update Stu Arbutus Reservoir Rep 23 SCADA - Continue Exp	idy blace/Enlarge				11,500 574,300 716,600 57,500 57,500	0	50% 50%	11,500 574,300 57,500	5,750 287,150	287,150	58 2,872 288	5,808 290,022 <b>394,538</b> 29,038 <b>29,038</b> <b>29,038</b>	5,693 284,279 322,062 28,463 28,463
N2025-2 TOTAL 20	Yeo Street Watermain	n Replacemer			(2012) (2012)	85,500 393,600 479,100	8	95% 95%	85,500 393,600 162,000	81,225 373,920	4,275 19,680 8,100	43 197 81	29,038 81,268 374,117 455,385 153,981	4,232 19,483 23,715
N2026-2 TOTAL 20 N2027-1	Gerald Street Waterma	ain Replacem ters - Initial S	ent ystem		(2012) (2012) (2012)	162,000 131,800 293,800 344,600 48,300	0	95% 95% 90% 95%	131,800 344,600 48,300	153,900 125,210 310,140 45,885	6,590 34,460 2,415	345 24	125,276 279,257 310,485	8,019 6,524 14,543 34,115 2,391
N2028-1 N2028-2 N2028-3		eplacement ters - Continu	e System Conversion		(2014)	392,900 56,200 114,900 11,500 131,800	0	95% 90% 50% 95%	56,200 114,900 11,500 131,800	53,390 103,410 5,750 125,210	2,810 11,490 5,750 6,590	28 115 58 66	45,909 356,394 53,418 103,525 5,808 125,276	2,391 36,500 2,782 11,375 5,693 8,572
N2029-1	28 Leisure Way Waterma Schirra Drive Waterma	in Replaceme in Replaceme	ent ent		(2014) (2014)	314,400 112,300 146,500 114,900	0	95% 95% 90%	112,300 146,500 114,900	106,685 139,175 103,410	5,615 7,325 11,490	56 73 115	288,026 106,741 139,248 103,525 349,514	5,693 6,524 26,374 5,556 7,252 11,375
N2030-1 N2030-2	Sheppard Road Water Armstrong Crescent W Radio Read Water Me	latermain Rep	lacement		(2014) (2014)	373,700 34,200 300,200 114,900 449,300	0 0 0	95% 95% 90%	34,200 300,200 114,900	32,490 285,190 103,410	1,710 15,010 11,490	17 150 115	32,507 285,340 103,525 421,372	24,186 1,693 14,860 11,375 27,928
N2031-4 N2031-5	Radio Read Water Me Apollo Drive Watermai Glenn Place Watermai Radio Read Water Me	rmain Řeplac ters - Continu n Replaceme in Replaceme	ement e System Conversion nt nt		(2014) (2014) (2014) (2014)	34,200 336,800 114,900 19,600 46,200 28,800 580,500	0 0 0 0 0	95% 95% 90% 95% 95% 90%	34,200 336,800 114,900 19,600 46,200 28,800	32,490 319,960 103,410 18,620 43,890 25,920	1,710 16,840 11,490 980 2,310 2,880	17 168 115 10 23 29	32,507 320,128 103,525 18,630 43,913 25,949 544,652	1,693 16,672 11,375 970 2,285 2,85 <b>35,84</b> 8
.01AC 20	TOTALS					\$21,436,771	\$0		\$21,436,771	\$10,476,987	\$10,959,784	\$109,598	\$10,586,585	\$10,850,186
GROWTH PROJECT & TOTAL DCC REVENUE PER LAND USE						-		DCC CALCULATION F						
	nd Use Category	Pro (#) 775	jected Growth (Unit)	Service Population Factor	Service (#)	sulting Population (%) 65.1%	Portion of Total Cost (\$)		Land Use Category	Projected Growth (#) 775	Portion of Total Cost (\$)	Resulting DCC (\$ per unit) \$9,110.84	(Unit)	
Single Fa Multi-Fan Congrega Commerci Institution Industrial	nily ate Care Facility cial nal	775 390 25 9,125 11,520 0	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit Unit m <sup>2</sup> , gross floor area m <sup>2</sup> , gross floor area ha	2.20 1.90 1.00 0.0100 0.0050 0	1,705 741 25 91 58 0	28.3% 1.0% 3.5% 2.2% 0.0%	\$7,060,903.50 \$3,068,698 \$103,532 \$376,858 \$240,195 \$0		Single Family Multi-Family Cong. Care Fac. Commercial Institutional Industrial	775 390 25 9,125 11,520 0	\$7,060,904 \$3,068,698 \$103,532 \$376,858 \$240,195 \$0	\$9,110.84 \$7,868.46 \$4,141.29 \$41.30 \$20.85 \$0.00	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit Unit per m <sup>2</sup> of gross floo per m <sup>2</sup> of gross floo per ha of site area	
				Totals	2,620	100%	\$10,850,186	1			\$10,850,186			

Nanoose Bay Peninsula Water Service Area DCC Review Report to CoW November 2013.docx

1000010